

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/003,356 | 11/15/2001 | Si Lok | 00-107 | 4642 |
| 7590 02/24/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Phillip B.C. Jones, J.D., Ph.D. | | | BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T | |
| ZymoGenetics, Inc. 1201 Eastlake Avenue East Seattle, WA 98102 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1646 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | '' | | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 10/003,356 | LOK ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Michael Brannock | 1646 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | · | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja | anuary 2005. | • | | | |
| , | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | n from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ition is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |

Art Unit: 1646

Status of Application: Claims and Amendments

Applicant's election (1/24/04) of claims 11-18 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. As no arguments were presented as to why the restriction requirement might be improper, the election is treated as being made without traverse; thus the restriction requirement is maintained and made FINAL

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well-established utility. The claims are directed to polynucleotides encoding an N-terminal portion (SEQ ID NO: 2), a C-terminal portion (SEQ ID NO: 5) of a polypeptide asserted to be a human vomeronasal receptor. Also claimed is a chimeric polynucleotide encoding the human N and C terminals fused to a murine putative vomeronasal polypeptide (SEQ ID NO: 8), see pages 5 and 6. The instant specification puts forth that the polypeptide is useful in a screening method to determine what ligands may activate or inhibit the polypeptide and also to determine what the physiological effects of the polypeptide might be (see pages 53-55 for example). This proposed use lacks a specific and substantial utility. It is not a specific use because any integral membrane protein could be used in exactly the same way. Further, many polypeptides are known in the art, yet the polypeptides have no known function or known ligands. Any of these orphan clones could be used in the manner described in the specification for the claimed polypeptide.

Art Unit: 1646

Furthermore, the proposed use of the polypeptide to screen for ligands of the polypeptide or for biologic effects of the polypeptide is not a substantial utility. A substantial utility is a practical use which amounts to more than a starting point for further research and investigation and does not require or constitute carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm what the practical use might ultimately be. For example, an assay that measures the presence of a material which has a stated correlation to a predisposition to the onset of a particular disease condition would be a practical use of the material. However, a method of treating an unspecified disease or condition with a material that has no particular correlation with a disease would not constitute a substantial utility. Basic research, such as studying the properties of the claimed product or the mechanisms in which the product is involved, does not constitute a substantial utility.

The specification puts forth that the polynucleotide could be used in diagnostic (page 62) and therapeutic procedures, yet the specification does not teach that the polynucleotide could be used either diagnostically or therapeutically for any particular disorder. A stated belief the polynucleotides or polypeptides maybe used diagnostically or therapeutically is not sufficient guidance to use the claimed polynucleotides to treat and/or diagnosis a particular disease; it merely defines a starting point for further research and investigation.

The specification puts forth that the polynucleotides and polypeptides could be used as tissue specific or chromosomal markers, pages 62-63. Consistent with current examination guidelines, use as a tissue specific and/or chromosomal marker is not considered to be a substantial utility. Most every polypeptide exhibits some tissue specific pattern of expression and most every gene encoding a polypeptide is localized to some region of a chromosome. However, without some assertion that the tissue or chromosomal localization can be used to practice a particular substantial utility, as in a marker for a particular disease state, the use of the polypeptides or polynucleotides as tissue or chromosomal marker does not constitute a substantial utility.

The instant application has failed to provide guidance as to how one of skill in the art could use the claimed invention in a way that constitutes a specific or substantial utility. The proposed uses of the claimed invention are simply starting points for further research and investigation into potential practical uses of the claimed nucleic acids.

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11-18 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention so that it would operate as intended without undue experimentation.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 11-13 encompass polynucleotides encoding only portions of the natural full length protein. The word "comprising" in the claims indicates that polynucleotides encoding the full length polypeptide (which has apparently not been discovered) are encompassed as well as an essentially unlimited genus of amino acid sequence variants of the full length polypeptide, i.e. substitutions, deletions or insertions in a protein corresponding to that encoded by a polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 or 4, e.g. allelic variants or artificially constructed variants None of these sequences meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Although one of skill in the art would reasonably predict that these sequences exist or could be made, one would not be able make useful predictions as to the nucleotide positions or identities of those sequences based on the information disclosed in the specification.

The instant disclosure of a polynucleotide encoding a C-terminal portion and a polynucleotide encoding an N-terminal portion of a polypeptide that has yet to be identified and with no instantly disclosed specific activities, does not adequately support the scope of the

Art Unit: 1646

claimed genus, which encompasses a substantial variety of subgenera. A genus claim may be supported by a representative number of species as set forth in *Regents of the University of California v Eli Lilly & Co*, 119F3d 1559, 1569, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997). A description of a genus of cDNAs may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of cDNAs, defined by nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the genus, or of a recitation of structural features common to the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. The instant specification discloses, however, does not even describe a single full length human sequence.

Thus, with the exception of the of the polynucleotides of SEQ ID NO: of 1 and 4 and other polynucleotides which encode a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 or 5 (SEQ ID NO: 3 and 6), the skilled artisan cannot envision encompassed variants. Therefore, only a polynucleotides encoding a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 and 5, and polynucleotides *consisting* of fragments thereof, or polynucleotides consisting of fragments thereof and heterologous sequences (e.g. carrier or tag sequences), but not the full breadth of the claims meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 1646

Conclusion

Please note the new central fax number for official correspondence below:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0829. Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

Febuary 20, 2005

Elijabetz C. Gennmern ELIJAGETH KENMERER